



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,470	12/02/2003	Shridhar Mukund	ADAPP223	5856

25920 7590 05/15/2006

MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE
SUITE 200
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085

EXAMINER

TSAI, HENRY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2181

DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/726,470

Applicant(s)

MUKUND ET AL.

Examiner

Henry W.H. Tsai

Art Unit

2181

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/4/05.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "176" (at page 10, line 3). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 13-20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Art Unit: 2181

In claim 13, "method" should read -processor- since claim 7 is not a method claim; and

In claim 14, line 18, "the circuitry" is redundant and should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-6, and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Narayan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,822,559), herein referred to as Narayan et al.'559.

Referring to claim 1, Narayan et al.'559 discloses, as claimed, a networking application processor (see Fig. 2), comprising: an input socket configured to receive data packets

Art Unit: 2181

(the input data from I/O module of the system intended to be used); a memory (such as main memory of the Narayan et al.'559's system or instruction cache 204, see Fig. 2) for storing instructions; circuitry configured to access data structures associated with a processing stage, the circuitry configured to access data structures enabling a single cycle access an operand from a memory location (such as main memory of the Narayan et al.'559's system or data cache 224, see Fig. 2); an arithmetic logic unit (ALU) (inside function units 212A-212D, see Fig. 2); and circuitry for aligning operands (such as instruction alignment unit 206 or decode units 207, see Fig. 2, and col. 6, lines 62-67) to be processed by the ALU, the circuitry for aligning operands causing the operand to be aligned by a lowest significant bit, wherein the circuitry for aligning the operand supplies an extension (in the situation when the real operand size is less than the size to be processed) to the operand to allow the ALU to process different size operands (see col. 17, lines 32-42, regarding the operand size may be 8, 16, and 32 bits).

Referring to claim 14, Narayan et al.'559 discloses, as claimed, a processor capable of processing a data packet associated with a processing stage of a pipeline of processors, the processor comprising: a data random access memory (RAM)

Art Unit: 2181

(such as the main memory of the Narayan et al.'559's system) configured to enable access to data structures; instruction fetch and decode circuitry (comprising such as early decode units 207A-207D, see Fig. 2) configured to interpret instructions to be executed by an arithmetic logic unit (ALU) (function units 212A-212D, see Fig. 2), the instruction fetch and decode circuitry including, a read only memory (ROM) (such as portion of the main memory of the Narayan et al.'559's system comprising system codes), the ROM configured to store code common to each processing stage associated with a pipeline of processors; a code RAM (MROM unit 209, see Fig. 2), the code RAM configured to download code specific to the processing stage; and instruction decode circuitry (comprising such as decode units 208A-208D, see Fig. 2) configured to recognize operating instructions; execute and write back circuitry (comprising function units 212A-212D, see Fig. 2) configured to set up operands to be processed by the ALU, the execute and write back circuitry including, internal registers (inside register file 218, see Fig. 2) for defining a first and a second operand; an arithmetic logic unit (function units 212A-212D, see Fig. 2) for processing the first and second operands; and align function circuitry (instruction alignment unit 206, see Fig. 2) for aligning the first and the second operands to be processed by

Art Unit: 2181

the ALU, the align function circuitry causing the first and the second operands to be aligned by a lowest significant bit, wherein the align function circuitry supplies an extension (in the situation when the real operand size is less than the size to be processed) to the each of the operands to allow the ALU to transparently process different size operands (see col. 17, lines 32-42, regarding the operand size may be 8, 16, and 32 bits).

As to claim 2, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the networking application processor of claim 1, wherein the instructions have a width of 96 bits (see the instruction set in Fig. 1 when it comprises 12 bytes=96 bits).

As to claims 3 and 16, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the networking application processor of claim 1, wherein the different size operands are selected from the group consisting of 8 bit operands, 16 bit operands, and 32 bit operands (see col. 17, lines 32-42, regarding the operand size may be 8, 16, and 32 bits).

As to claim 4, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the networking application processor of claim 1, further including: an output socket for transmitting processed data; and a 64 bit bus (see such as 64-bit input bus to decode unit 0-3, see Fig. 25) connecting the input socket and the output socket.

Art Unit: 2181

As to claims 5 and 15, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the networking application processor of claim 1, wherein the extension to the operand fills each higher bit with a value (such as 0 for each higher bit for the unsigned operands).

As to claim 6, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the networking application processor of claim 1, wherein the operand is selected from the group consisting of a source operand, a destination operand, an immediate operand, and an internal register operand (see col. 2, lines 5-23, and Fig. 1, regarding the instruction set format including source operand, a destination operand, an immediate operand).

As to claim 17, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the processor of claim 14, wherein the operating instructions wherein the operating instructions are formatted as 96 bit instructions (see the instruction set 100 in Fig. 1 when it comprises 12 bytes=96 bits), each of the 96 bit instructions including a single return bit (the bit such as end of file or record in the instruction set 100 in Fig. 1).

As to claim 18, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the processor of claim 14, wherein the processor is configured as a two stage pipeline for pipelining an instruction fetch and decode operation (using prefetch/predecode unit 202; and decode units 208A-208D, see Fig. 2) and an execute and write back

Art Unit: 2181

operation (see Col. 144, lines 45-65, regarding write back operations).

As to claim 19, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the processor of claim 14, wherein the operating instructions include microcode configured to predict a likely direction for a branch instruction (using branch prediction unit 220, see Fig. 2).

As to claim 20, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the processor of claim 19, wherein no operation (NOP's) instructions are included (see such as col. 139, lines 4-5, regarding some of the instructions may be NOOP), the NOP's configured block an invalidated pre-fetched instruction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2181

6. Claims 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narayan et al.'559.

Referring to claim 7, Narayan et al.'559 discloses, as claimed, a processor (see Fig. 2), comprising: an input socket (the input data from I/O module of the system intended to be used) configured to receive data packets; a memory (such as main memory of the Narayan et al.'559's system or instruction cache 204, see Fig. 2) for storing instructions; circuitry configured to access data structures associated with a processing stage, the circuitry configured to access data structures enabling a single cycle access from a memory location (such as main memory of the Narayan et al.'559's system or data cache 224, see Fig. 2); and an arithmetic logic unit (ALU) (inside function units 212A-212D, see Fig. 2), the ALU configured to receive a first and a second operand (operand A and operand B, see Fig. 33); the second operand being specified from an internal register (REGF, see Fig. 33).

Narayan et al.'559 discloses the claimed invention except for explicitly showing the first operand having a mask enabling the ALU to process a non-masked segment of the first operand.

However, Narayan et al.'559 shows using masks to select bytes before sending to the register file for the further use

Art Unit: 2181

(see Col. 144, lines 59-60).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Narayan et al.'559's system to comprise the first operand having a mask enabling the ALU to process a non-masked segment of the first operand, as also taught by Narayan et al.'559, in order to facilitate selecting the useful bytes to be processed and saving the processing time in the Narayan et al.'559's system.

As to claim 8, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the instructions have a width of 96 bits (see the instruction set in Fig. 1 when it comprises 12 bytes=96 bits) as set forth in claim 2 above.

As to claim 9, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the processor of claim 7, wherein each of the instructions include a loadback feature enables random accesses to one of a source indirect register or a destination indirect register through indirect addressing (see Fig. 1 and col. 2, lines 5-22 regarding such as adding the displacement value to the content of a register to form a memory location).

As to claim 10, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the processor of claim 7, wherein the mask is associated with an

Art Unit: 2181

immediate value (see Fig. 1, the instruction set comprising the immediate field) of the first operand.

As to claim 11, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: first and the second operands are associated with a size selected from the group consisting of 8 bit operands, 16 bit operands, and 32 bit operands (see col. 17, lines 32-42, regarding the operand size may be 8, 16, and 32 bits) as set forth in claim 3 above.

As to claim 12, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the processor of claim 7, wherein the first operand is selected from the group consisting of a source operand, a destination operand, an immediate operand, and an internal register operand (see col. 2, lines 5-23, and Fig. 1, regarding the instruction set format including source operand, a destination operand, an immediate operand) as set forth in claim 6 above.

As to claim 13, Narayan et al.'559 also discloses: the method of claim 7, wherein the memory location (such as main memory of the Narayan et al.'559's system or data cache 224, see Fig. 2) is a static random access memory (SRAM).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2181

Kelly et al. discloses an apparatus for generating first and second selection signals for aligning words of an operand and bytes within these words respectively.

Patel discloses in a digital computer system in which arithmetic operands are addressed in instructions by their most significant digits, and in which operands need not start or end on particular boundaries in system memory, apparatus is provided for calculating information from data available during instruction decoding and for using that information during operand fetching to fetch operands least significant digit first, and to store them in a scratchpad memory right-justified on double-word boundaries and filled with leading zeros.

Colley et al. discloses: a parallel processor network comprised of a plurality of nodes, each node including a processor containing a number of I/O ports, and a local memory. Each processor in the network is assigned a unique processor ID (202) such that the processor IDs of two processors connected to each other through port number n , vary only in the n th bit.

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Henry

Art Unit: 2181

Tsai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, Fritz M. Fleming, can be reached on (571) 272-4145. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC central telephone number, 571-272-2100.

9. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 2100 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into **the Group at fax number: 571-273-8300**. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 2100 will be promptly forward to the examiner.



HENRY W. H. TSAI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

May 3, 2006